

## ARTICLE

## Significance of Angiogenesis and Microvascular Invasion in Renal Cell Carcinoma

Yoram DEKEL,<sup>1</sup> Rumelia KOREN,<sup>2</sup> Valentina KUGEL,<sup>1</sup> Pinhas M LIVNE,<sup>1</sup> Rivka GAL<sup>2</sup>

Departments of <sup>1</sup>Urology and <sup>2</sup>Pathology, Hasharon Hospital, Rabin Medical Center, Campus Golda, Petah Tikva, and Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

The aim of this study is to evaluate the relationship between tumor angiogenesis and microvascular invasion, and the subsequent development of metastatic disease in patients undergoing surgery for renal cell carcinoma (RCC). The study group consisted of 102 patients who underwent surgery for RCC between the years 1990 and 1997 in our institute with a mean follow up period of 81.3 months. Paraffin blocks were stained for Factor VIII-related antigen and CD34 which decorate endothelial cells in order to assess angiogenesis and microvascular invasion and their relevance for developing metastatic disease. When Factor VIII-related antigen staining was used we found that the microvessel count correlated with the development of metastatic disease with a mean count of 49.7 for patients with no evidence of disease and a mean count of 95.5 for patients who developed metastatic disease ( $p < 0.05$ ). We also found that microvascular invasion correlated with the development of metastatic disease. It was demonstrated in 55.5% of patients who developed metastatic disease versus 23.8% of patients with no evidence of disease with Factor VIII staining ( $p < 0.05$ ), and in 33.3% and 7.1%, respectively ( $p < 0.05$ ) with CD34 staining. This study suggests that demonstration of intense angiogenesis and microvascular invasion may be a predictor of a more aggressive tumor mandating closer follow up and consideration of adjuvant therapy. (Pathology Oncology Research Vol 8, No 2, 129–132, 2002)

**Keywords:** angiogenesis, factor VIII, renal cell carcinoma, prognosis

### Introduction

RCC is a relatively rare tumor affecting six of every 100,000 people and accounting for approximately 3% of adult malignancies. It is more common among urban population and among males with a male-to-female ratio of approximately 2:1.<sup>36</sup> The tumor arises from the proximal convoluted tubules of the kidney and is characterised by abundant neovascularization and arteriovenous-venous fistula formation.<sup>14</sup> Radical nephrectomy is the curative treatment option for renal cell carcinoma.<sup>6,17,20,21,22</sup> If the tumor is small and nephron sparing is required, partial nephrectomy is the procedure of choice. Although tumor size, tumor grade and nuclear atypia have all been reported as prognostic factors,<sup>7,8,13,16</sup> the biological behavior of this tumor is still unpredictable. The impact of invasion of

the carcinoma cells into large veins on the prognosis of patients remains controversial.<sup>5,9,18,24,25,28,29</sup> Recently, several reports have been published attributing an adverse impact of microvascular invasion and angiogenesis on survival of patients with urologic tumors, particularly in RCC.<sup>1,3,4,11,12,15,23,26,34,38</sup>

In this paper, we evaluated the impact of microvascular invasion and angiogenesis on the survival of patients who underwent surgery for RCC.

### Materials and methods

#### Clinical history

Between 1990 and 1997, 132 patients underwent surgery for RCC in our institute. Complete data and follow-up were available for 102 patients; 62 males and 40 females, age range from 47 to 81 years (mean age of 65.1 years). The preoperative evaluation included a chest X-ray and abdominal CT scan. Forty-nine patients underwent radical nephrectomy and two underwent partial nephrectomy. Mean postoperative follow-up was 81.3 months

Received: April 2, 2002; accepted: May 31, 2002

Correspondence: Rumelia KOREN, M.D., Department of Pathology, Hasharon Hospital, Petah Tikva, Israel; Fax: 972-3-9372349; Tel: 972-3-9372390; E-mail: rumelia@isdnmail.co.il

**Table 1. Tumor histology and progression**

Histological diagnosis	Patients		NED		Metastatic disease	
	No.	% of all patients	No.	% of patients	No.	% of patients
Clear cell	74	72.5	62	83.8	12	16.2
Tubulopapillar	22	21.6	20	90.9	2	9.1
Chromophobe	4	3.9	2	50	2	50
Sarcomatoid	2	2	0	0	2	100

NED – no evidence of disease

(range 42 to 111 months). Follow-up consisted of performing abdominal ultrasonography and chest X-ray every six months for the first five years and then once a year, and an abdominal CT scan annually over the first five years.

We reviewed the patient's records for tumor size, stage of the tumor (according to the TNM classification)<sup>37</sup> and current status of the patient.

#### Pathological analysis

All pathological slides were reviewed by the same pathologist for definition of the specific type of the tumor and grade of the tumor (according to the method of Fuhrman).<sup>7</sup> From a representative paraffin embedded block, four microns-thin slices were cut, mounted on glass slides, deparaffinized by xylene and alcohol, rehydrated, incubated in citrate buffer for antigen retrieval at 90°C, in a microwave oven for 10 minutes and stained with monoclonal mouse anti-human CD34 (Signet – Massachusetts) and rabbit anti-human, Factor VIII-related antigen (EURO-DPC – UK Llarberis). The staining process was done with the DAKO Chemmate Detection Kit on the DAKO Chemmate slide processing instrument (DAKO - Denmark). For quantification of angiogenesis we first checked the slides under low magnification (x100) and marked the area with the maximal number of small vessels within the tumor and then counting the vessels in three randomly selected high magnification fields (x 400) within the marked area, and calculating the average number of vessels. For the detection of vascular invasion we checked

the periphery of the tumor under high magnification (x 200), counting the vessels that demonstrated vascular invasion. We considered vascular invasion whenever a group of tumor cells was surrounded by endothelial cells which stained positively by Factor VIII- related Ag and/or CD34. The possible correlation between maximal angiogenesis, vascular invasion, and the patient's clinical status has been evaluated.

#### Statistical analysis

Comparison between groups was made with a Kruskal-Wallis test. Values of  $p < 0.05$  were considered statistically significant.

#### Results

During the follow up period, six patients (5.9%) died of unrelated disease, with no evidence of metastases, 18 patients (17.6%) died with evidence of metastatic disease and 78 (76.5%) are alive with no evidence of disease. *Table 1.* summarizes the tumor histology and outcome. 74 patients (72.5%) had clear cell carcinoma; 12 (16.2%) died with evidence of metastatic disease. 22 patients (21.6%) had tubulopapillary type; 2 (9.1%) died with evidence of metastatic disease, 4 (3.9%) had chromophobe type; 2 died with metastatic disease and 2 patients (2%) had sarcomatoid type. These two last patients subsequently died with metastatic disease. The distribution of tumor stages and grades and patients outcome is given in *Table 2.* and *3.*

**Table 2. Tumor stage and progression**

Stage of disease	Patients		NED		Metastatic disease	
	No.	% of all patients	No.	% of patients	No.	% of patients
T1	16	15.7	12	75	4	25
T2	66	64.7	58	87.9	8	12.1
T3A	14	13.7	10	71.4	4	28.6
T3B	6	5.9	4	66.7	2	33.3

NED – no evidence of disease

**Table 3. Tumor grade and progression**

Grade of tumor	Patients		NED		Metastatic disease	
	No.	% of all patients	No.	% of patients	No.	% of patients
G-1	26	25.5	26	100	0	0
G-2	62	60.8	48	77.4	14	22.6
G-3	12	11.7	10	83.3	2	16.7
G-4	2	2	0	0	2	100

NED – no evidence of disease

Angiogenesis has been evaluated by both in CD34 and Factor VIII staining. The results are given for each histologic type separately because we found different figures for the different histologic types. When we analysed the angiogenesis results for Factor VIII staining we found that in the clear cell carcinoma there are twice as many vessels in tumors that eventually metastasized ( $95.5 \pm 50.32$ ) than there were in tumors that did not ( $49.7 \pm 13.7$ ) and this difference is statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ). In the other histological groups there were not enough patients for statistical evaluation. There was no difference between patients with and without metastatic disease CD34 staining.

Vascular invasion has been evaluated by both CD34 and Factor VIII. Factor VIII staining demonstrated vascular invasion in 30 patients; 20 out of the 84 patients in the NED (no evidence of disease) group (23.8%), and 10 of the 18 patients who died with metastatic disease (55.5%) ( $p < 0.05$ ). CD34 staining demonstrated micro vascular invasion in 12 patients, including out of 84 patients in the NED group (7.1%) and 6 out of 18 patients in the metastatic group (33.3%) ( $p < 0.05$ ). *Table 4.* summarizes the angiogenesis and vascular invasion results in both stains and the disease outcome.

### Discussion

Growth of solid tumors requires angiogenesis.<sup>19</sup> The new proliferating vessels supply oxygen and nutrition for the tumor cells and promote their growth. Experimental evidence shows that the dynamics of hematogenous metastasis are dependent on the access of the tumor cells to the microvasculature.<sup>31</sup> The important role of angiogenesis in human solid tumors have been well reported in recent studies. Many studies have demonstrated that the microvessel count assessed by immunostaining correlates with the risk of metastasis, recurrence and the prediction of patient survival.<sup>10,32,33</sup>

RCC is a tumor with unpredictable behavior. In this study we evaluated the impact of angiogenesis and microvascular invasion on the prognosis of the patient, using two immunohistochemical staining methods – for Factor VIII and for CD34. We found that the CD34 staining did not discriminate between patients with and without metastatic disease on the

basis of angiogenesis. We also found that, in general, vessel count was higher with this antibody than Factor VIII. It is well known that CD34 staining results for microvascular count are usually higher than those of Factor VIII.<sup>2,30</sup> One explanation for this is that CD34 is less specific than Factor VIII and tend to stain nonendothelial cells.<sup>27,35</sup> We believe that another possible explanation is that there was background staining with CD34, thus making the vessel count higher than it really was and masking the difference between the two groups of patients. In the Factor VIII stained sections, we found a statistically significant difference in vessel count between patients with and without metastatic disease in patients with clear cell type RCC. In the other histologic types we did not have enough patients to draw any conclusions. The vessel count in the tubulopapillary type was lower than in the other histologic types.

Microvascular invasion is a good prognosticator with a high risk for the development of metastatic disease when demonstrated. This phenomenon could be demonstrated with both staining methods. Again because of the higher staining with CD34 it was harder to define those tumor cells that were typically surrounded by endothelial cells in order to diagnose microvascular invasion, and that is probably the reason why microvascular invasion was less frequent in the CD34 staining. It is quiet impressive that inspite these limitations the difference between those who developed metastatic disease and those who did not was statistically significant in both stainings.

**Table 4. Vessels count, microvascular invasion and disease status**

Disease status	CD34 staining	Factor VIII staining	
	MVI (% of patients)	Vascular count*	MVI (% of patients)
NED	7.1	$49.7 \pm 13.7$	23.8
Metastatic disease	33.3	$95.5 \pm 50.32$	55.5

NED = No evidence of disease; MVI = microvascular invasion  
\*Number per high power field, given in mean  $\pm$  standard deviation

## Conclusions

Patients with RCC demonstrating microvascular invasion or a high degree of angiogenesis are at high risk for developing metastatic disease. These patients deserve closer follow-up and perhaps adjuvant therapy.

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful for the editorial and secretarial help of Mrs Gloria Ginzach and Mrs Melanie Kawe.

## References

1. *Bex A, Luboldt H, Sudermann T et al*: Influence of linomide on local tumor growth and metastasis of the human hormone-resistant prostate cancer cell line PC3 in an orthotopic model. *Eur Urol* 37:628-633, 2000.
2. *Bochner BH, Cote RJ, Weidner N, et al*: Angiogenesis in bladder cancer: relationship between microvessel density and tumor prognosis. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 87:1603-1612, 1995.
3. *Campbell SC*: Advances in angiogenesis research: relevance to urological oncology. *J Urol* 158:1663-1674, 1997.
4. *Crew JP*: Vascular endothelial growth factor: An important angiogenic mediator in bladder cancer. *Eur Urol* 35:2-8, 1999.
5. *DeKernion JB, Derry D*: The diagnosis and treatment of renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer* 45:1947-1953, 1980.
6. *DeKernion JB, Mukamel E*: Selection of initial therapy for renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer* 60:539-546, 1987.
7. *Fuhrman SA, Lasky LC, Limas C*: Prognostic significance of morphologic parameters in renal cell carcinoma. *Am J Surg Pathol* 6:655-660, 1992.
8. *Guinan PD, Vogelzang NJ, Freman AM*: Renal cell carcinoma: tumor size, stage and survival. *J Urol* 153:901-905, 1995.
9. *Hatcher PA, Anderson EE, Paulson DF, et al*: Surgical management and prognosis of renal cell carcinoma invading the vena cava. *J Urol* 145:20-25, 1991.
10. *Hollingsworth HC, Kohn EC, Steinberg SM, et al*: Tumor angiogenesis in advanced stage ovarian carcinoma. *Am J Pathol* 147:33-41, 1995.
11. *Imazano Y, Takebayashi Y, Nishiyama K, et al*: Correlation between thymidine phosphorylase expression and prognosis in human renal cell carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol* 15:2570-2578, 1997.
12. *Lang H, Lindner V, Saussine C, et al*: Microscopic venous invasion: A prognostic factor in renal cell carcinoma. *Eur Urol* 38:600-605, 2000.
13. *Maldazys JD, deKernion JB*: Prognostic factors in metastatic renal carcinoma. *J Urol* 136:376-380, 1986.
14. *Mancilla-Jimenez R*: Papillary renal cell carcinoma: a clinical, radiologic and pathologic study of 34 cases. *Cancer* 38:246-270, 1976.
15. *Marstic C, Salamon J, Weber R, et al*: Microscopic venous infiltration as predictor of relapse in renal cell carcinoma. *J Urol* 148:271-276, 1992.
16. *Medeiros LJ, Gelb AB, Weiss LM*: Renal cell carcinoma: prognostic significance of morphologic parameters in 121 cases. *Cancer* 61:1639-1644, 1988.
17. *Muss HB*: The role of biological response modifiers in metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Semin Oncol* 15:30-34, 1988.
18. *Myers GH, Fehrenbaker LG, Kellais PP*: Prognostic significance of renal vein invasion by hypernephroma. *J Urol* 100:420-423, 1968.
19. *Page DL*: Prognosis and breast cancer. Recognition of lethal and favorable prognostic types. *Am J Surg Pathol* 15:334-349, 1991.
20. *Patel NP, Lavengood RW*: Renal cell carcinoma. Natural history and results of treatment. *J Urol* 119:722-727, 1978.
21. *Richie AWS*: Current treatment approaches to renal cell carcinoma - the role of surgery. In *Progress in the treatment of renal cell carcinoma*. Proceeding of the National Symposium, Queens College, Cambridge, Massachusetts 1-8, 1989.
22. *Robson C*: Radical nephrectomy for renal cell carcinoma. *J Urol* 89:37-41, 1963.
23. *Samma S, Yoshida K, Ozono S, et al*: Tumor thrombus and microvascular invasion as prognostic factor in renal cell carcinoma. *Jap J Clin Oncol* 21:340-345, 1991.
24. *Sanchez De La Muela P, Zudaire JJ, Robles IE, et al*: Renal cell carcinoma: vena cava invasion and prognostic factors. *Eur Urol* 19:284-290, 1991.
25. *Sevnic M, Kirkali Z, Yörükoglu K, et al*: Prognostic significance of microvascular invasion in localized renal cell carcinoma. *Eur Urol* 38:728-733, 2000.
26. *Selli C, Hinshaw WM, Woodard BH, Paulson DF*: Stratification of risk factors in renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer* 52:899-903, 1983.
27. *Sirgi KE, Wick MR, Swanson PE*: B72.3 and CD34 immunoreactivity in malignant epithelioid soft tissue tumors. Adjuncts in the recognition of endothelial neoplasms. *Am J Surg Pathol* 17:179-185, 1993.
28. *Skinner DG, Colvin RB, Vermillion CD, et al*: Diagnosis and management of renal cell carcinoma a clinical and pathological study of 309 cases. *Cancer* 28:1165-1170, 1971.
29. *Skinner DG, Pfister RF, Colvin R*: Extension of renal cell carcinoma into the vena cava. The rationale for aggressive surgical management. *J Urol* 107: 11-714, 1972.
30. *Slaton JW, Inoue K, Perrotte P, et al*: Expression levels of genes that regulate metastasis and angiogenesis correlate with advanced pathological stage of renal cell carcinoma. *Am J Pathol* 158:735-743, 2001.
31. *Srivastava A, Hughes LE, Woodcock JP, et al*: Vascularity in cutaneous melanoma detected by Doppler sonography and histology: correlation with tumor behaviour. *Br J Cancer* 59:89-91, 1989.
32. *Takebayashi Y, Natugoe S, Baba M, et al*: Angiogenesis in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Oncol Rep* 5:401-404, 1998.
33. *Tanigawa N, Amaya H, Matsumura M, et al*: Tumor angiogenesis and expression of thymidine phosphorylase/platelet derived endothelial cell growth factor in human gastric carcinoma. *Cancer* 108:281-290, 1996.
34. *Van Poppel H, Vandendriessche H, Boel K, et al*: Microscopic vascular invasion is the most relevant prognosticator after radical nephrectomy for clinically non-metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *J Urol* 158:45-49, 1997.
35. *Wenig BM, Abbondanzo SL, Heffess CS*: Epithelioid angiosarcoma of the adrenal glands. A clinicopathologic study of nine cases with a discussion of the implications of finding "epithelial-specific" markers. *Am J Surg Pathol* 18:62-73, 1994.
36. *Wingo PA, Tong T, Bolden S*: Cancer Statistics, 1995. *Cancer J Clin* 45:8-30, 1995.
37. World Health Organization: International Histologic Classification of Tumors. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
38. *Yoshino S, Kato M, Okada K*: Prognostic significance of microvessel count in low stage renal cell carcinoma. *Int J Urol* 2:156-160, 1995.